

Appl. No. 09/545,639
Amdt. Dated 12/13/2004
Response to Office action dated 09/24/2004

REMARKS

Claims 1-23 and 33-60 are pending. No new matter has been added.

Claims 1, 12, 13, 33, 42, 43, 47, 56, and 57 have been amended to place them in better form for consideration on appeal.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The Examiner rejected claims 1 and 13 under 35 USC § 112, second paragraph as having insufficient antecedent basis for the limitation, "the user." Claims 1, 12, 33, 42, 47 and 56 have been amended so that "the user" is now "the user computer." Claim 13 does not include the limitation the "user." Thus, the rejection has been overcome.

The Examiner rejected claim 13 under 35 USC § 112, second paragraph as having insufficient antecedent basis for the limitation, "the viewing program." Claims 13, 43, and 57 have been amended so that "the viewing program" is now "the viewer program." Thus, the rejection has been overcome.


Conclusion

In view of all of the above, it is respectfully submitted that the present application is now in condition for appeal.

The Examiner is invited to call the undersigned attorney to answer any questions or to discuss steps necessary for placing the application in condition for allowance.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: December 13, 2004



Joel G. Landau, Reg. No. 54,732

SoCal IP Law Group

12/13

Appl. No. 09/545,639
Amdt. Dated 12/13/2004
Response to Office action dated 09/24/2004

310 N. Westlake Blvd., Suite 120
Westlake Village, CA 91362
Telephone: 805/230-1350
Facsimile: 805/230-1355
email: info@socalip.com